

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 01 2006

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)


Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.


TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10/762,267	
	Filing Date	01/23/2004	
	First Named Inventor	TOM KUSIC	
	Art Unit	3643	
	Examiner Name	TIMOTHY D. COLLINS	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	5	Attorney Docket Number	

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name			
Signature			
Printed name	TOM KUSIC		
Date	MARCH 1, 2006	Reg. No.	

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:			
Signature			
Typed or printed name	TOM KUSIC	Date	03/01/2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is guaranteed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 01 2006

Tom Kusic
GPO Box 932
Melbourne
Vic 3001
AUSTRALIA

March 1, 2006

Commissioner for Patents
Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria
VA 22313-1450
United States of America

Re: Application number 10/762,267
Filing Date: 01/23/2004
Name of Applicant: Tom Kusic
Invention Title: Aircraft Spiralling Mechanism - C

Attention: Examiner Mr. Timothy D. Collins

Thank you for your examination report with the mailing date
12/05/2005 and for allowing claims 5,6, 13, 14, 25(5/6/13/14)
and 26(5/6/13/14).

With respect to the number 31 not being present in the
specification, I have attached a replacement page numbered 15
for the specification which now includes the number 31 as
reference to the protrusion in figure 11. No new matter has been
added.

In response to the reasons for rejection of claims noted in
clause 3 on Pages 2 and 3 in your report:

I think I understand how you could argue that in USPN 4964593,
by Kranz, fins 4 and 5 could pivot in the same/symmetric, in an
alternating manner. That is, one fin can be rotated in say a
clockwise direction, while a second fin is rotated in an
anti-clockwise direction. On reversing this movement, the second
fin would rotate in a clockwise movement, while the other fin
would rotate in an anti-clockwise movement.

However, in claim 1 in my application the fin rotating mechanism
is "such that rotation of one fin in a pivoting manner relative to
the tube causes rotation of another fin relative to the tube in the
same direction of rotation as a direction of rotation of the said
one fin relative to the tube" (lines 15 to 19, page 16). That is,
in claim 1, both fins are rotated in the same direction as a result
of rotating one fin in one direction only. Claim 1 does not make
any mention of reversing the direction of rotation after a rotation
of fins has occurred.

1/3



(Re: Patent application 10/762,267)

In claim 2, the fin rotating mechanism is "such that mechanical action by the fin rotating mechanism to pivotally rotate one fin relative to the tube can cause rotation of another fin relative to the tube in the same direction as a direction of rotation of the said one fin relative to the tube" (lines 15 to 19, page 17). That is, both fins can be rotated in the same direction as a result of rotating one fin in one direction only. Claim 2 does not make any mention of reversing the direction of rotation after a rotation of fins has occurred.

In claim 3 the fin rotating mechanism is "such that rotation of one fin in a pivoting manner relative to the tube causes rotation of another fin in a pivoting manner relative to the tube such that a direction of rotation of the said one fin in a pivoting manner relative to the tube is symmetric to a direction of rotation of the said another fin relative to the tube" (lines 12 to 18, page 18). That is, in claim 3, both fins are rotated in symmetrical directions as a result of rotating one fin in one direction only. Claim 3 does not make any mention of reversing the direction of rotation after a rotation of fins has occurred.

In claim 4 the fin rotating mechanism is "such that mechanical action by the fin rotating mechanism to rotate one fin in a pivoting manner relative to the tube can cause rotation of another fin in a pivoting manner relative to the tube such that a direction of rotation of the said one fin in a pivoting manner relative to the tube is symmetric to a direction of rotation of the said another fin relative to the tube" (lines 12 to 18, page 19). That is, in claim 4, both fins can be rotated in symmetrical directions as a result of rotating one fin in one direction only. Claim 4 does not make any mention of reversing the direction of rotation after a rotation of fins has occurred.

The other rejected claims are dependent on claims 1-4 being allowed.

In USPN 4964593, by Kranz, from Figure 5 it can be seen that the rotation one fin in one direction causes the rotation another fin in the opposite direction. When electric motors 9 and 10 are stationary, the fins are locked in place due to the gearing by which they are supported on the rotating tube. Looking at Figure 5, which shows how the fins are connected to the rotating tube, it can be seen that if only one motor is rotated, both fins would have to rotate in opposite directions due to the geared connection of the fins to the rotating tube. If both motors 9 and 10 are rotated in the same direction, the fins would remain in a fixed position, while the tube is rotated by the motors. If both motors are rotated in opposite directions, the fins would rotate in opposite directions. In his narrative in the specification, Kranz does not make any reference to rotating the fins in the same direction relative to the tube.

2/3



(Re: Patent application 10/762,267)

In response to the reasons for rejection of claims noted in clause 4 on Pages 3 and 4 and paragraph 5 on page 4 in your report:

The restrictions in claims 1-4 have been discussed above and are applicable to overcome rejection of claims 1-4 due to JP 406026799A. That is, in claims 1-4, the rotation of one fin causes/can cause the rotation of another fin in the same/symmetric direction. Claims 1-4 do not make any mention of reversing the direction of rotation after a rotation of fins has occurred.

The other rejected claims are dependent on claims 1-4 being allowed.

In JP 406026799A, by Watanabe, Figure 2 shows the mechanism used by Watanabe to rotate the fins. Each fin is referred to as number 11, as is also the case in Figure 4. In Figure 2, stem 23 on the nearest fin is above that fin, while stem 23 attached to the fin on the other side is below the fin. As such, if the stem 23 on the nearest fin is pushed in a rear direction relative to the missile, the fin would be rotated in a downward direction, while the fin on the other side would be rotated in an upward direction, that is, an opposite direction.

Yours sincerely,


T. Kusic

3/3

15

FIG. 9 shows a cross-sectional view of the spiral inducing assembly as viewed from behind the spiral inducing assembly. Shown in FIG. 6 are the rear ends of the activation stems 9 and 20, and the retaining brackets 11 and 22 that support
5 the activation stems 9 and 20, and prevent uncontrolled lateral movement of the activation stems 9 and 20.

FIG. 10 shows a side cutting of the part of the fuselage 4 encircled by the primary tube 3a of FIG. 1. The encircled part of the fuselage 4 can be seen to be narrower
10 than the rest of the fuselage 4. Thrust bearings 29 and 30 are positioned on the narrowed section of fuselage 4. The thrust bearings are used to prevent the primary tube moving longitudinally relative to the fuselage 4.

FIG. 11 shows a variation of the spiral inducing assembly of FIG. 2. The electric motor has been replaced with a protruding section 31 in the form of a fin that protrudes from
the primary tube, and is angled so as to force the primary tube to rotate during flight.